MANNING CURTIS BRADSHAW & BEDNAR LLC

Brent V. Manning, # 2075 Chad R. Derum, # 9452 Third Floor Newhouse Building 10 Exchange Place Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Telephone: (801) 363-5678 Facsimile: (801) 364-5678 bmanning@mc2b.com cderum@mc2b.com

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

# KLEIN-BECKER USA, LLC, KLEIN-BECKER IP HOLDINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

COLLAGEN CORPORATION; DOCTORS SKIN CARE INSTITUTE MEDICAL CLINIC, INC.; and LESLIE FEINSTEIN aka L. LOUISE BRODY aka LOUISE BRODY FEINSTEIN aka LOUISE LESLIE FEINSTEIN,

Defendants.

# ATTORNEY'S PLANNING MEETING REPORT

Case No. 2:07-cv-873

District Judge Ted Stewart

Magistrate Judge David Nuffer

## 1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS:

и.	The hardre of the claims and arrimative defenses is.				
b.	This case is	X_not referred to a magistrate judge			
		referred to magistrate judge name of magistrate judge			
		under 636(b)(1)(A)			
		under 636(b)(1)(B)			

The nature of the claims and affirmative defenses is:

c. Pursuant to Fed. R.Civ.P. 26(f), a meeting was held on March 6, 2008 by telephone.

The following were in attendance:

Chad R. Derum, counsel for Plaintiffs

Todd E. Zenger, counsel for Defendants

- d. The parties \_\_\_\_\_ request / \_\_X\_\_ do not request an initial pretrial scheduling conference with the court prior to entry of the scheduling order. An initial pretrial scheduling conference is set before Magistrate Judge David Nuffer on April 23, 2008, at 10:30 a.m.
- e. The parties \_\_\_\_\_ have exchanged or \_\_\_X\_ will exchange by 3/21/08 the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1).

## 2. ELECTRONIC SERVICE:

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D), the parties agree to receive all items required to be served under Fed.R.Civ.P. 5(a) by either (i) notice of electronic filing, or (ii) e-mail transmission. Such electronic service will constitute service and notice of entry as required by those rules. Any right to service by USPS mail is waived, unless electronic or email service is not possible or is contrary to the applicable rules or because of the nature of the filing (i.e. a document is filed under seal).

- **3. DISCOVERY PLAN**: The parties jointly propose to the court the following discovery plan: *Use separate paragraphs or subparagraphs as necessary if the parties disagree.* 
  - a. Discovery is necessary on the following subjects:

Discovery is necessary on all of Plaintffs' claims against Defendants. This includes, but is not limited to, Defendants' misappropriation and use of Plaintiffs' trade dress, the extent to which Defendants have profited through such misappropriation, and the damage to Plaintiffs' business goodwill as a result of Defendants' actions.

Discovery is also necessary on all of Defendants' defenses, including the Court's jurisdiction and whether Defendants' prior uses of brand names or trade dress are permitted by law under theories including but not limited to waiver, estoppel and laches.

- b. Discovery Phases: Discovery will be conducted in phases. Fact discovery will precede expert discovery.
- c. Designate the discovery methods to be used and the limitations to be imposed.
  - (1) Oral Exam Depositions

Plaintiffs: 10

Defendants: 10

Maximum no. hrs. per deposition: 8

(2) Interrogatories: 35 Interrogatories may be served on each named party.

Admissions: No limit

Requests for production of documents: No limit

(3) Other discovery methods: Any other discovery methods may be used by mutual consent of the parties.

## 4. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS AND ADDITION OF PARTIES:

a. The cutoff dates for filing a motion to amend pleadings are:

Plaintiffs: 4/21/08 Defendant(s) 5/12/08

b. The cutoff dates for filing a motion to join additional parties are:

Plaintiffs: 4/21/08 Defendants(s) 5/12/08

(NOTE: Establishing cutoff dates for filing motions does not relieve counsel from the requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)).

## **5. EXPERT REPORTS**:

Reports from experts under Rule 26(a)(2) will be submitted on: specify dates

Plaintiff(s) 8/21/08

Defendants: 9/19/08

Counter reports: 10/1/08

## 6. OTHER DEADLINES:

a. Discovery cutoff: Fact: 8/19/08 Expert: 10/31/08

b. Deadline for filing dispositive or potentially dispositive motions and Daubert

motions is: 12/1/08

7.	ADI	ADR/SETTLEMENT:				
	Use	Use separate paragraphs/subparagraphs as necessary if the parties disagree.				
	a.	The	The potential for resolution before trial is: good fairx poor			
		c.	The case should be re-evaluated for settlement/ADR resolution on:			
			8/19/08			
8.	TRI	AL AN	D PREPARATION FOR TRIAL:			
	a.	The	The parties should have14 days after service of final lists of witnesses and			
		exhil	bits to list objections under Rule 26(a)(3) (if different than 14 days provided	led		
		by R	ule).			
	b.	This	case should be ready for trial by: February 1, 2009			
		Spec	rify type of trial: Jury X Bench			
	c.	The	estimated length of the trial is: 4 days			
		R. Derun				
Sign	ature ar	nd typed	I name of Plaintiff(s) Attorney			
		E. Zenge				
Sign	ature ar	nd typed	I name of Defendant(s) Attorney			

## NOTICE TO COUNSEL

The Report of the Attorney Planning Meeting should be completed and filed with the Clerk of the Court thirty days before the date of the Initial Pretrial Conference. A copy of the Proposed Scheduling Order on the court's official form should be submitted in word processing format by email to ipt@utd.uscourts.gov. If counsel meet, confer, and

- (i) file a stipulated Attorney Planning Meeting Report and
- (ii) email a draft scheduling order in word processing format by email to ipt@utd.uscourts.gov

30 days before the scheduled hearing, the Court will consider entering the Scheduling Order based on the filed Attorney Planning Meeting Report.

If the Hearing is held, counsel should bring a copy of the Attorney Planning Meeting Report to the Hearing.

In CM/ECF, this document should be docketed as Other Documents - Attorney Planning Meeting.

